Thomas A. Egan (TE-0141)
Flemming Zulack Williamson Zauderer LLP
One Liberty Plaza
New York, New York 10006
(212) 412-9500
(212) 964-9200 (Fax)
Attorneys for Defendant
Apollo Enterprise Solutions, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

DEBT RESOLVE, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

APOLLO ENTERPRISE SOLUTIONS, LLC,

Defendant.

AFFIDAVIT OF JENNIFER A. TRUSSO IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND IMPROPER VENUE

[F.R.C.P. 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(3)]

Civil Action No. 07-103

AFFIDAVIT OF JENNIFER A. TRUSSO IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND IMPROPER VENUE

I, Jennifer A. Trusso, declare as follows:

I am a member of Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, attorneys of record for Defendant Apollo Enterprise Solutions, LLC ("Apollo") in the above-entitled

action. I make this declaration in support of Apollo's Motion to Dismiss For Lack of Personal Jurisdiction and Improper Venue. I make this declaration of my personal knowledge and, if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.

1. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the complaint filed by Apollo in the United States District Court, Central District of California.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 13, 2007 at Irvine, California.

Jennifer A. Trusso

Exhibit A

Cassas 8:070 70 70 ve 0 0014553 IMUSRT JBD o color ocent eint 0-2 Fileide 0 50/20/2020 007 Parage of of 9

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

For its Complaint, Plaintiff Apollo Enterprise Solutions, LLC ("Apollo") alleges against Defendant Debt Resolve, Inc. ("Debt Resolve") as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This civil action is for a declaratory judgment that Apollo does not infringe U.S. Patent Nos. 6,330,551 ('551 patent) and 6,954,741 ('741 patent), assigned to Debt Resolve. A case or controversy exists because, on January 8, 2007, Debt Resolve filed a complaint against Apollo in United States District Court, District of New Jersey, for patent infringement of the '551 patent and the '741 patent. However, Apollo has no contacts of any kind with the District of New Iersey and will be seeking a dismissal or transfer of such action for lack of personal jurisdiction and improper venue.
- This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§2201-2202; the United States Patent Laws, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq.; and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 3. §§1391(b) and (c) in that, Apollo and, on information and belief, Debt Resolve do business in this district, and a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims hereunder occurred in this district.
- On information and belief, Debt Resolve conducts business in the State of California, including in this judicial district, and has alleged patent infringement in this judicial district.

28 RADLING YOCCA ARLSON & RAUTH

THE PARTIES

3 4

Plaintiff Apollo is organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, 5.

б

8

八野生 150

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

STRADLING YOCCA ARLSON & RAUTH

with its principal place of business at 2111 Business Center Drive, Suite 204, Irvine, CA. Apollo is engaged in the development and marketing of automated receivables management and debt collection solutions.

On information and belief, Defendant Debt Resolve is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business at 707 Westchester Avenue, Suite L-7, White Plains, New York.

On information and belief, Debt Resolve is engaged in the marketing, 7. advertising, selling, and distribution of on-line collection of consumer debt products.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Invalidity and/or Non-Infringement of the '551 Patent)

- Apollo incorporates by reference, as part of this cause of action, the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 7 above.
- Apollo has not infringed, is not infringing, and has not contributed to 9. or induced others to infringe any valid claim of the '551 patent as properly construed.
- Upon information and belief, the claims of the '551 patent are invalid 10. for failure to meet one or more of the requirements of patentability of United States Code, Title 35, including, without limitation, those requirements set forth in 35

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT DOCSOC/12076014L010000 0000

U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103 and/or 112, and the rules, regulations and laws pertaining thereto.

11. Apollo is entitled to an order from the Court that it is not and has never directly infringed the '551 patent, and is not and has never contributed to or induced infringement of any valid claim of the '551 patent as properly construed. Apollo is also entitled to an order from the Court that some or all of the claims of the '551 patent are invalid or otherwise unenforceable.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Invalidity and/or Non-Infringement of the '741 Patent)

- 12. Apollo incorporates by reference, as part of this cause of action, the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 7 above.
- 13. Apollo has not infringed, is not infringing, and has not contributed to or induced others to infringe any valid claim of the '741 patent as properly construed.
- 14. Upon information and belief, the claims of the '741 patent are invalid for failure to meet one or more of the requirements of patentability of United States Code, Title 35, including, without limitation, those requirements set forth in 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103 and/or 112, and the rules, regulations and laws pertaining thereto.
- 15. Apollo is entitled to an order from the Court that is not and has never directly infringed the '741 patent, and it is not and has never contributed to or induced infringement of any valid claim of the '741 patent as properly construed.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

COM

б

Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth

Apollo is also entitled to an order from the Court that some or all of the claims of the '741 patent are invalid or otherwise unenforceable.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Apollo prays for and requests:

- An order from the Court that Apollo is not and has never directly 1. infringed the '551 patent, and is not and has never contributed to or induced infringement of any valid claim of the '551 patent as properly construed.
- An order from the Court that some or all of the claims of the '551 2. patent are invalid or otherwise unenforceable.
- An order from the Court that Apollo is not and has never directly infringed the '741 patent, and is not and has never contributed to or induced infringement of any valid claim of the '741 patent as properly construed.
- An order from the Court that some or all of the claims of the '741 patent are invalid or otherwise unenforceable.
 - An award to Apollo of its costs and attorneys' fees in this action.
 - All other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

28 LISON & RAUTH

22

23

24

25

26

27

Cassas 8:070 70 70 ve 0 0014553 IMUSRTJBD o duon centre entla 2-2 Fille ide 0 50/20/20/20/2000 7 Pargage 9 f of 9 Fax sent by : 9497254100 SYCR #6 01-26-07 16:01 Pg: 11/15 Dated: January 26, 2007 STRADLING YOCCA CARLSON & RAUTH A Professional Corporation Jan P. Weir Steven M. Hanle Jennifer A. Trusso Attorneys for Plaintiff Apollo Enterprise Solutions, LLC ADLING YOCCA ALSON & RAUTH